



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/432,820	11/02/1999	ARCHANA KAPOOR	A-57004-4/RF	1595	
75	90 08/24/2004		EXAMINER		
FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT STE 3400		ZARA, JANE J			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ADERO CENTER		1635		
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			DATE MAILED: 08/24/200	DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	* , •,·			
		09/432,820	KAPOOR ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jane Zara	1635				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29.	lanuary 2004.					
2a)□		s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 24,26-33,41-50 and 52 is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 24,26-33,41-50 and 52 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer  application from the International Burea  See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received.  Its have been received in a pority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1-29-04</u> .		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/432,820

Art Unit: 1635

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office action is in response to the communication filed 1-29-04.

Claims 24, 26-33, 41-50 and 52 are pending in the instant application.

## Response to Arguments and Amendments

#### Withdrawn Rejections

Any rejections not repeated in this Office action are hereby withdrawn.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

#### Maintained Rejections

Claims 24, 26-33, 41-49 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention, for the reasons set forth in the Office action mailed January 30, 2001, Paper No. 9. This rejection was withdrawn in the Office action mailed 8-25-03, but has been reinstated upon further consideration because the term *antigenic determinant*, as it pertains to SEQ ID NO: 2, has not been adequately described in the instant disclosure or in the art. The rationale for reinstating this rejection is elaborated below.

Applicant's arguments filed 6-17-03 and 5-9-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that antibodies reactive against SEQ ID NO: 2 are specific because tuberculosis patients' sera recognize a single protein band (i.e.

Application/Control Number: 09/432,820 Page 3

Art Unit: 1635

of apparent molecular weight of 90kDa as illustrated by western blotting in figure 3 of the instant specification). Applicants argue further that because of this specific recognition, combined with the purported topology of the polypeptide deduced from the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 (e.g. figure 5 of the instant specification), as well as the failure to hybridize non-infectious Mycobacterial strains (M. smegmatis and M. vaccae) by southern blotting under stringent hybridization conditions (figure 6), using a probe of the nucleic acid (i.e. SEQ ID NO: 1) encoding the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2, the written description requirement for the antigenic determinant of SEQ ID NO: 2 has been properly satisfied. Contrary to Applicants' assertions, the generation of a single band by western blotting of the entire sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 does not particularly or adequately describe what portion of this sequence is the antigenic determinant recognized by the antibodies present in the patients' sera. Likewise, the purported topology of the intramembraneous polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2 does not adequately describe what portion of the polypeptide is providing the antigenic determinant. The western blotting was performed on cell lysates, and the antigen providing the signal by western blotting might be derived from a membrane fraction with a cytosolic facing antigenic determinant, an intracellular facing antigenic determinant, or a partially solubilized, membrane-embedded, antigenic determinant. There is no way of distinguishing between these three possibilities (e.g. no intact cellular binding assays were provided to compare the antigenicity with the membrane topology of SEQ ID NO: 2), nor of determining what subsequences of the SEQ ID NO: 2 provide the antigenic determinant recognized by the antibodies of patients' sera. The lack of cross

Application/Control Number: 09/432,820 Page 4

Art Unit: 1635

hybridization by southern of a probe from the nucleotide sequence encoding the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2 with non-virulent Mycobacterial strains M. *smegmatis* and M. *vaccae* does not provide the missing information to adequately describe the amino acid subsequences of SEQ ID NO: 2 that comprise the antigenic determinant of that sequence that is recognized by the patients' sera. Therefore, the 112, first paragraph rejection for lacking adequate written description for the antigenic determinant of SEQ ID NO: 2 is maintained.

### New Rejections

Claim 50 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: detecting antibodies bound to purified protein that is homologous to SEQ ID NO: 2 and that is obtained from a virulent strain of Mycobacteria. This step is essential since the claim is drawn to a method of detecting the presence of antibodies binding to a virulent Mycobacterium. In addition, the hybridization step set forth in the claim does not allow for binding and/or identifying the probe bound to a target.

## Claim Objections

Claim 50 is objected to because, in line 2, "Mycobacteriam" is misspelled and should be replaced with –Mycobacterium--.

## Page 5

#### Conclusion

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1635 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. 1.6(d)). The official fax telephone number for the Group is 703-872-9306. NOTE: If Applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jane Zara** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-0765**. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John LeGuyader, can be reached on (571) 272-0760. Any inquiry regarding this application should be directed to the patent analyst, Katrina Turner, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0564. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

JZ 8-15-04

JOHN L. LEGUYADER SUPPRIVISORY PATENT EXAMINEB

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600